

County Council

2 November 2021

Schedule of Business

This page is intentionally left blank

OXFORDSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL – 02 NOVEMBER 2021

SCHEDULE OF BUSINESS

There will be an Extraordinary Meeting of Council from 10.00 am to 11.00 am to appoint the Aldermen. The Full council Meeting will then start at 11.00 am.

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Seconder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
			10.00 – 11.00	Extraordinary Meeting to Appoint Alderman Ms Anne Purse Mrs Judith Heathcoat Mr John Sanders	Apologies – see Item 2 below. (M) Leffman (SEC) Bearder (M) Reeves (SEC) Constance (M) Brighthouse (SEC) Phillips
1.	2		11.00	Minutes Minutes of the Meeting held on 14 September 2021 (CC1).	

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Secorder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
				<p>Members are asked to note the following corrections to Minutes:</p> <p>Minute 55/21, 2nd para add in the text 'seconded' after Pressel.</p> <p>Minute 58/21, penultimate paragraph substitute 'Motion' with 'Amendment'.</p>	
2.	2			Apologies for Absence	Cllr Fenton, Cllr Coles, Cllr Waine, Cllr Rooke, Cllr Fatemian, Cllr Paule
3.	2			Declarations of Interest	
4.	2			Official Communications <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • David Amess MP 	Reeves, Leffman, Brighouse

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Seconder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
5.	2			<p>Appointments</p> <p>Audit & Governance</p> <p>Councillor Ted Fenton to replace Councillor Eddie Reeves.</p> <p>Joint Health Overview & Scrutiny Committee</p> <p>Councillor Nick Leverton to replace Councillor Arash Fatemian.</p> <p>Councillor Damian Haywood to replace Charlie Hicks</p>	
6.	2			Petitions and Public Address	<p>Petition</p> <p>Mr Bjorn Watson requesting higher environmental standards for the new school in Shrivenham. (3 mins)</p>

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Seconder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
7.	2			Questions with Notice from Members of the Public	None.

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Secunder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
8.	3	30 mins	11.20	<p>Questions with Notice from Members of the Council</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Constance to Sudbury 2. Constance to Bearder 3. Constance to Bearder 4. Cherry to Bearder 5. Bartholomew to Leffman 6. Simpson to Leffman 7. Reeves to Miller 8. Reeves to Sudbury 9. Reeves to Leffman 10. Murphy to Hannaby 11. Murphy to Hannaby 12. Murphy to Hannaby 13. Webber to Sudbury 14. Ford to Sudbury 15. Ford to Leffman 16. Ford to Phillips 17. Van Mierlo to Bearder 18. Leverton to Lygo 19. Leverton to Lygo 20. Bloomfield to Brighthouse 21. Gant to Bearder 22. Gant to Bearder 23. Gant to Enright 	See Annex 2

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Seconder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
9.	3	20 mins	11.50	Report of the Cabinet Leader of the Council (Liz Leffman) Adult Social Care (Jenny Hannaby) Climate Change Delivery & Environment (Pete Sudbury) Community Services & Safety (Neil Fawcett) Corporate Services (Glynis Phillips) Finance (Calum Miller) Highway Management (Tim Bearder) Public Health Travel and Development Strategy (Duncan Enright) All Cabinet Members (par. 16)	Q. Roberts (1) Q. O'Connor (2) Q. Johnston, Saul, Field-Johnson (3, 4) Q. Pressel (5) Q. Hanna (6) Q. Bartholomew (7, 8) Q. Van Mierlo (10) Baines (11) Q. Q. Field-Johnson, Baines Gant, Smith (9), Gant, Van Mierlo (13) Baines (14) Van Mierlo (15) Q. Bartholomew

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Seconder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
10.	3	20 mins	12.20	Members' Allowances <i>Movers and seconders 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</i>	(M) Chair (SEC) Vice Chair S Reeves S Leffman S Brighthouse S Sudbury
11.		5 mins	12.40	Committee Dates 2022/23 <i>Movers and seconders 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</i>	(M) Chair (SEC) Vice Chair
12.	3	25 mins	2.00	Libraries and Heritage Services Motion by Councillor Eddie Reeves <i>Movers and seconders 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</i>	(M) Reeves (SEC) Constance (AM) Baines (SEC) Banfield S Mallon S Corkin

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Seconder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
13.	4	20 mins	2.25	Health & Care Motion by Councillor Jane Hanna <i>Movers and seconders 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</i>	(M) Hanna (SEC) Haywood S Banfield S Hannaby S Baines S Leverton
14.	4	15 mins	2.45	Planning Motion by Councillor Brad Baines <i>Movers and seconders 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</i>	(M) Baines (SEC) Smith S Saul S Field-Johnson
15.	5	10 mins	3.00	Local Radio Motion by Councillor Kieron Mallon <i>Movers and seconders 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</i>	(M) Mallon (SEC) Ilott S Sudbury

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Seconder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
16.	5	20 mins	3.10	<p>Local Renewables Motion by Councillor Ian Snowdon</p> <p><i>Movers and seconders 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</i></p>	<p>(M) Snowdon (SEC) Sudbury S Walker S O'Connor S Enright S Constance</p>
17.	6	15 mins		<p>COP26 Motion by Councillor Sally Povolotsky</p> <p><i>Movers and seconders 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</i></p>	<p>(M) Povolotsky (SEC) Hicks S Bennett</p>

AGENDA ITEM	PAGE NO.	MAXIMUM DURATION	APPROX START TIME TIME LIMIT PER DEBATE	SUBJECT	PROPOSALS (M = Motion; SEC = Secunder; Am = Amendment S = Statement; Q = Question; REC = Recommendation to be determined)
18.	6	40 mins		<p>Plant-Based Meals Motion by Councillor Ian Middleton</p> <p><i>Movers and seconders 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</i></p>	<p>(M) Middleton (SEC) Gant (AM) Bartholomew (SEC) Reeves S Mallon S Bartholomew S Field-Johnson S Iott S Simpson S Mallon S Corkin S Reeves</p>
19.	7.			<p>Reservoir Motion by Councillor Richard Webber</p> <p><i>Movers and seconders 5 mins and speaking in debate 3 minutes, CPR 15.4.2</i></p>	<p>(M) Webber (SEC) Povolotsky S Constance</p>

AMENDMENTS TO MOTIONS ON NOTICE

Agenda Item 18 – Motion by Councillor Ian Middleton – Amendment to be moved by David Bartholomew

“This Council recognises that meat and dairy production is a significant contributor to greenhouse gas emissions and global deforestation and that reducing consumption of these foods is **play** a key part of tackling climate change and improving health outcomes.

The Government's independent Climate Change Committee advises that meat consumption should be reduced by a fifth, and that public bodies should lead the way by promoting plant-based food options. Leading by example on this and food waste should be fundamental components of our commitment to cutting carbon emissions.

Furthermore, in the UK, only 18% of children consume the recommended 5 portions of fruit and vegetables per day, and most young people's diets lack fibre. Providing appealing plant-based school meals along with education on healthy, climate-positive food choices are excellent ways to address these problems.

Council asks Cabinet to:

1. Ensure that food provided at all council catered events and meetings is ~~entirely~~ **have a** plant-based **option**, preferably using ingredients sourced from local food surplus organisations.
2. Ensure that council school meals services have **a** plant-based menus **option** available as part of their regular offer on at least two days per week.
3. Work on outreach to schools and young people to actively influence and inform on food choices and their impact on the environment, health and animal welfare.
4. Encourage and empower students to make informed decisions about the food available in their school.
5. Inspire, promote and support initiatives surrounding food growing, preparation and waste avoidance, especially as part of school and community projects.”

Agenda Item 12 – Motion by Councillor Eddie Reeves – Amendment to be moved by Councillor Brad Baines

“This Council supports the work undertaken by members and officers in developing an ambitious Libraries and Heritage Services Strategy. ~~both under the previous administration and the present one.~~

~~This Council recognises the funding challenges that face local authorities in their provision of such services, which long predate the current Government and whose services may sometimes be characterised by an era of local government that has long since disappeared.~~ ***regrets that Library Services across England have been devastated by over a decade of savage cuts by Government to local councils. National funding for libraries has fallen by almost £300 million since 2010, forcing the closure of over 800 libraries in England.***

This Council recognises that libraries form the heart of local communities and that further cuts to Local Government only undermine this Council’s ability to ensure our Library Service can deliver for those who need and benefit from libraries the most.

Given the importance of developer contributions for the provision of, and realisation of improvements to, such vital services, this Council calls on the Cabinet Member for Community Services to ~~establish a Cabinet Advisory Group~~ ***engage directly with members*** to ensure ~~inter alia~~ that the Council’s strategy is:

- i. properly funded;
- ii. sensibly delivered against agreed key performance indicators; and
- iii. cognisant of the need to have the right libraries in the right places, rather than those in which they have historically been placed.

Without prejudice to ~~its~~ ***this*** work, ~~such a CAG~~ ***members*** could also advise the Leader and relevant Cabinet Member on:

- i. parts of the county and the country in which libraries have facilitated or hosted joint services, ~~thereby increasing use of public libraries;~~ and
- ii. the wealth and scope of College, University and other private library facilities ~~that exist in Oxfordshire with a view to investigating the possibility of making such facilities — whether wholly or in part — more readily available to residents. — and, more particularly, adult learners, consistent with the Government’s Lifelong Learning Guarantee.”~~

QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE FROM MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL

ANNEX 2

Questions are listed in the order in which they were received. The time allowed for this agenda item will not exceed 30 minutes. Should any questioner not have received an answer in that time, a written answer will be provided.

Questions	Answers
<p>1. COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE</p> <p>The 3-month suspension of the Green Bin Collections by South and Vale has attracted much critical comment, and service will be restored in October. Visits to my local tip at Stanford have made clear that residents have brought their waste to the County Councils HWRCs which have been forced to manage unprecedented volumes of garden waste. Will the Cabinet Member please report what is the cost to the County Council of the additional waste disposed of by OCC's contractor (W&S) instead of BIFFA, the District Councils' contractor?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR PETE SUDBURY, CABINET MEMBER FOR CLIMATE CHANGE DELIVERY & ENVIRONMENT</p> <p>This remains an ongoing issue and a truly accurate position and cost cannot be provided, as separate weights for this material are not kept. However, we have estimated additional tonnages received across five of our sites (Oakley Wood, Drayton, Stanford, Dix Pit and Redbridge), based on this assessment we believe additional costs to the County Council of waste delivered to our HWRC's to be around £ 15-20k. This cost purely covers additional transport costs of the material from those sites. There is no additional cost for the treatment/composting of this material as the County Council would have incurred those costs anyway if S&V/Biffa had delivered the waste to the treatment facility direct.</p> <p>However, the County Council is also incurring further costs through the treatment of the material collected by Biffa once the service resumed. Due to the length of time garden waste has been in residents' bins during the suspension some of it has started to become malodorous and anaerobic. This has presented our composting contractor with difficulties composting the waste which requires additional management, processing, and transportation for a proportion of material that needs diverting to other facilities to ensure compliance with relevant environmental legislation. At present this is an ongoing issue and the total costs for this will not be known for the next couple of months.</p>

Questions	Answers
<p>2. COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE</p> <p>In the 2019 survey of all councillors about how best to spend DfT grant (later titled 'Active Travel' with fixed conditions) the majority of councillors requested 20 mph for their towns/villages and safe school streets. The outgoing administration agreed a budget of £200,000 towards implementing 20 mph, with an outline plan to develop a standard procedure for all towns/parishes to reduce the costs for each parish : e.g. could Council approve a 20-mph limit for those opting-in to avoid costly speed surveys and consultation in each participating town/parish? Will the Cabinet Member please report on progress on this project, and publish a process and timetable for villages to know when to expect this relief?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR TIM BEARDER, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY MANAGEMENT</p> <p>I am very grateful that following the Green's own Pete Sudbury had successfully passed a motion calling on this council to act we did. I was disappointed to see that the previous administrations idea of acting was setting aside a paltry sum of money with which to do so.</p> <p>We voted for a paradigm shift and we got a parody of a gift.</p> <p>But the Oxfordshire Fair Deal Alliance has taken the £200,000 you signed off in the budget and got it straight to work with 5 pilot sites that are being delivered before the end of March 2022 and a countywide marketing campaign is also being developed to assist with the roll out of the pilot and future schemes. Officers have allocated £20,000 of the £200,000 for this task.</p> <p>Existing requests for 20mph restrictions that members have made have been recorded by officers for inclusion in a programme going forwards. We encourage projects already in progress to continue as planned but if you wish to understand the potential impact of this new approach or potential future opportunities that may come through the budget setting process</p> <p>Funding to support this new approach is being considered as part of the council's budget setting process. This will be agreed upon by the full council in February 2022. Until a decision on funding is made, local funding will be required to progress any schemes. I hope you will persuade your Conservative colleagues that this time, instead of shuffling the deck chairs, they will join us in backing a step change to make Oxfordshire the first 20mph County in England bringing in a safer pace and making the county a safer place for everyone.</p>

Questions	Answers
	<p>The administration has developed a 20mph web page that outlines how members can get a restriction in their areas.</p> <p>Requesting 20mph Oxfordshire County Council</p>
<p>3. COUNCILLOR YVONNE CONSTANCE</p> <p>Residents are now aware that Civil Parking Enforcement has been agreed by each of the District Councils still to exercise this(delegated) power, and we councillors have copies of the information leaflets prepared to introduce the public to the new scheme. Will the Cabinet Member for Highway Management please inform Council when CPE will be rolled out in each District, and what process we can expect to see?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR TIM BEARDER, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY MANAGEMENT</p> <p>The rollout from CPE will start from the 1st November and from this date Civil Enforcement Officers working on behalf of the county council will be deployed across the new enforcement areas. This will involve a mixture of foot and mobile enforcement while we build up local intelligence and initially, we will focus on the local centres.</p> <p>There will be a period of transition so we are planning on issuing warning notices for a short period, but once the message has got across live PCN's will be issued.</p> <p>We have written to parish and town councils asking their input on enforcement and this will assist us in developing effective enforcement going forward.</p> <p>When you signed off this change on the 13th October 2020 you said, "We cannot expect the police to do it, and the result in our towns is parking mayhem." So, I am sure that you'll agree with me that we don't want that mayhem to continue any longer than it already has under the Conservatives' 16-years in charge of Oxfordshire.</p> <p>Because it has been left so long bad habits have built up, measures that concerned communities put in place to tackle inconsiderate parking have been ignored and some historical signs and lines have become redundant and forgotten. Over a decade of inaction will mean that the shift from police to</p>

Questions	Answers
	<p>traffic wardens is more sudden and unwelcome than it ought to have been. There isn't an advertising budget on the planted that would have been sufficient to communicate the change to all those that might be affected but we've taken out Radio and TV adverts, distributed leaflets, and asked members to help spread the word. Still, we anticipate some understandable concern and worry from our residents, and we will take a pragmatic and measured approach to bringing in these changes so that the mayhem that resulted during years of Conservative control are well and truly a thing of the past.</p>
<p>4. COUNCILLOR MARK CHERRY</p> <p>As the County Councillor for Ruscote who has lived in Bretch Hill all my life, along with my labour ward councillors in Banbury Ruscote constituents, we have continued to ask if Oxfordshire County Council has funding to resolve parking problems in roads like Dover Avenue, Edmonds Road, Bretch Hill and whether there is a possibility of digging up grass verges for parking spaces. Highway officers have currently stated that there is no funding solutions at this point in time. Can the cabinet member for Highways Management enlighten me as the local member for Ruscote if there is any future available funding from Oxfordshire County Council to look to resolve the parking problems in Banbury Ruscote.</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR TIM BEARDER, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY MANAGEMENT</p> <p>I can confirm that in terms of funding there are limited options for this type of proposal as the use of budgets allocated for highway maintenance would not be suitable. The creation of new parking areas in existing green spaces would be unlikely to secure capital funding, which is prioritised against meeting our strategic objectives of encouraging modal shift and improving accessibility and safety on the road network.</p> <p>In the past district councils have funded initiatives to create new parking areas where public housing is provided and other opportunities may be through developer contributions. If external contributions were put forward our highway teams would assist in developing a scheme for on the highway.</p> <p>But my experience of Banbury is of a Town that has been forced to take an eye-watering level of development under the Conservatives with much more baked in. I'd suggest that the last thing residents want is the loss of EVEN MORE precious green spaces for yet more cars and I'd urge you to listen to more Joni Mitchell.</p>

Questions	Answers
<p>5. COUNCILLOR DAVID BARTHOLOMEW</p> <p>At Full Council in July, I congratulated you and the new administration for recommending that chairs of scrutiny should come from the Opposition. I think this is the right and proper decision and I hope it will be followed by future administrations of all colours. I added a qualification that I was disappointed that you hadn't included Audit & Governance which is in effect a scrutiny committee. You replied by saying that that was under consideration and could change at the Annual Meeting of the Council in May. Has the thinking of the administration advanced in respect of this, but more particularly, would you consider bringing forward a proposal for such a change to Council at the December 2021 meeting? This would mean that the decision could be accounted for in the deliberations of the Constitution Review Working Group which is due to report to Audit & Governance in March 2022.</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL</p> <p>Thank you for your question.</p> <p>I do recall the discussion on this matter.</p> <p>I think it is right that The Constitution Review Working Group, of which I understand you will be a member has the opportunity to consider this point.</p> <p>I understand your reference to the Committee as akin to a scrutiny committee. That is not quite the case. The Audit and Governance Committee has a specific remit with regard to the technicalities of internal control, financial accountability and challenge in relation to governance. It also has powers of decision making within those subject areas, which is distinct from scrutiny committees.</p>
<p>6. COUNCILLOR NIGEL SIMPSON</p> <p>The previous administration was successful in attracting government funding of over £700 million to Oxfordshire including the Housing Infrastructure fund bids for Didcot of £215 million and £102 million for the A40 corridor. The cabinet report of September 2017 was clear that the</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL</p> <p>The A44/A4260 corridor was the third bid for Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF) that was submitted by Oxfordshire County Council in autumn 2017 but only two (Didcot and the A40) made it through to stage 2 of the process.</p> <p>Instead Housing and Growth Deal revenue funding was allocated to the project, which has enabled options assessment work to be carried out on a</p>

Questions	Answers
<p>A44/A4260 corridor required a bid of £152 million to include funding for a new Park & Ride as well as improving cycle facilities in the area. When will the Leader be submitting a bid for this much needed infrastructure?</p>	<p>southbound bus lane and cycle facilities along the A44 between Bladon roundabout and Peartree Interchange and at Kidlington roundabout and along the A4165 to Cutteslowe roundabout.</p> <p>Capital funding from the Growth Deal has since been awarded, which will enable the delivery of the bus lane and cycle facilities on the A44 from Cassington Road roundabout down to and including Peartree Interchange and at Kidlington roundabout. These elements are in preliminary design and are expected to be completed by the end of March 2023.</p> <p>Funding for the remaining sections will be sought through developer negotiations and other Government or third-party funding opportunities including for a new Park & Ride/mobility hub on the A44.</p>
<p>7. COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES</p> <p>Given the administration's plans to introduce more 20 mph zones across Oxfordshire, will the Cabinet member for Finance commit to protecting the £2 million budget provided by the previous administration for 'smaller', but nonetheless equally vital, highways maintenance projects, together with any further funding provisions within the MTFP for provision of (i) accessibility schemes, (ii) kerbside maintenance and (iii) vegetation clearance?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR CALUM MILLER, CABINET MEMBER FOR FINANCE</p> <p>As has happened in previous years, we will review the capital programme in light of the administrations priorities and put forward proposals to full council as part of the Capital Programme in February 2022.</p>
<p>8. COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES</p> <p>When does the Cabinet member intend to make</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR PETE SUDBURY, CABINET MEMBER FOR CLIMATE CHANGE DELIVERY & ENVIRONMENT</p> <p>The public engagement exercise was very useful to gather resident opinions</p>

Questions	Answers
<p>a decision about the number of household recycling centres open to residents and will he commit to respect the result of relevant consultation exercises in the event that residents tell the Council that they do not want to see any recycling centres closed?</p>	<p>on the HWRCs, the services they offer, and their thoughts on ideas for the future. We received some brilliant feedback from residents and are currently analysing the comments. The results will be fed into the options appraisal stage of the process and we hope to return to consult residents on the HWRC strategy (including number of sites and locations) winter/spring 2022/23 before a final decision is made that takes into account reuse and recycling ambitions, carbon reduction objectives and budgetary constraints.</p>
<p>9. COUNCILLOR EDDIE REEVES</p> <p>On 13 July, the Leader committed to work with Oxford City Council to realise more housing within the City, yet 3,000 homes are now set to be built on land adjoining the City within South Oxfordshire. What will she do to ensure that this trend does not continue and that Oxford's housing crisis is solved by identifying land within the City and building the appropriate strategic and transport infrastructure to facilitate it?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL</p> <p>There are several allocated sites adjoining or near the City, not just within South Oxfordshire, which address the unmet housing need that was identified some years ago. Looking forward, the Districts and the City are now working on a joint Oxfordshire Plan 2050 and individual reviews of their local plans. Oxford City Council has started the process of reviewing their local plan and an issues consultation and call for sites was carried out over July and August 2021. We will continue to work with City Council officers to identify sites for housing to be allocated in future with the appropriate infrastructure to facilitate their delivery.</p>
<p>10. COUNCILLOR JANE MURPHY</p> <p>In 2014 the previous administration ensured that the minimum visit for carers would be 30 minutes unless the client requested otherwise. Can the Cabinet member for Adult Social Care confirm that the current administration will be continuing this good practice?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR JENNY HANNABY, CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT SOCIAL CARE</p> <p>Thank you, Councillor Murphy, for your question. As you will be aware, we have recently started a new contract for our homecare support. In this we are moving away from the limited time and task approach to an outcomes-based contract. As a result, I can reassure you that visits exceed 30 minutes with the only exceptions to this are where the task for the carer is brief e.g., visits to prompt someone to ensure medications are taken, in such cases 15 minutes would suffice. Even in these circumstances we are looking at how technology can assist thus reducing the need for a visit at all.</p>

Questions	Answers
<p>11. COUNCILLOR JANE MURPHY</p> <p>What is the Cabinet member for Adult Social Care doing to ensure that there are sufficient carers to protect the most vulnerable residents in Oxfordshire?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR JENNY HANNABY, CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT SOCIAL CARE</p> <p>Thank you again Councillor Murphy for your second question. Workforce is a critical and vulnerable element of the support provided to our vulnerable citizens. We have developed in conjunction with Oxfordshire Association of Care Providers (OACP) a local recruitment campaign encouraging people to work with providers within Oxfordshire. Home - Proud To Care (proudtocareoxfordshire.org.uk)</p> <p>We are working closely with providers to monitor workforce issues. Recruitment continues to be pressured locally and nationally. Where providers indicate there is pressure, we will assist where possible to ensure there is sufficient cooperation and staffing amongst providers of all types.</p> <p>The NHS capacity tracker highlights providers that may have staffing concerns allowing the council to proactively target them with assistance as required.</p> <p>The government announcement relates £162.5m aimed to bolster the dedicated care workforce across the country and is available to be used until March 2022, it's to be used to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Support local authorities working with providers to recruit staff. 2. To be available to help retain the existing workforce – through overtime payments and staff banks of people ready to work in social care. 3. Provide further capacity to support their health and well-being through occupational health.

Questions	Answers
	As yet no further detail has been produced on Oxfordshire' s allocation but if it was calculated on the same split as the previous workforce grant Oxfordshire would receive £1.5m approx.
<p>12. COUNCILLOR JANE MURPHY</p> <p>Can the cabinet member for Adult Social Care explain what plans that are in place over the winter period to ensure the safety of vulnerable residents should a provider have financial problems?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR JENNY HANNABY, CABINET MEMBER FOR ADULT SOCIAL CARE</p> <p>Thank you, Councillor Murphy, for your final and important question. We are regularly in contact with all our providers and operate a dedicated contact point in our contracts team. As you might expect providers will have business continuity plans in place to cover such eventualities and these will be assessed as part of the council's quality assurance activities. Where a provider indicates that they are experiencing difficulties, or our activities indicate that that may be the case we will work with them to ensure that Oxfordshire residents do not go without the care that they need. It is for situations such as this that we have maintained a contingency budget to provide support if needed.</p>
<p>13. COUNCILLOR RICHARD WEBBER</p> <p>As requested in today's motion to Council "Will you support the request for GARD to be present at future meetings with TW when the reservoir is discussed?"</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR PETE SUDBURY, CABINET MEMBER FOR CLIMATE CHANGE DELIVERY & ENVIRONMENT</p> <p>Thank you for this.</p> <p>I will brief you more fully in response to your motion later. However, the summary position is:</p> <p>I have had meetings with Thames Water and GARD. Both have been very positive. Both have expressed some governance concerns (as have our Council Officers) around the notion of the Council working in partnership with a single stakeholder (GARD). Officers have also pointed out that any formal relationship (such as might bind us to ensuring they are present at all meetings), could appear also to bind us to a particular outcome and be seen</p>

Questions	Answers
	<p>to limit the range of responses we could give to the consultation. This is undesirable and should not reflect our starting position in this consultation.</p> <p>Given that we can probably all agree that it is important we get the right answer on this, I have made clear to all parties my position that we should avoid confrontational approaches, and seek to reach a joint understanding and agreement, at minimum on the science, evidence and reasoning behind the potential adoption of any scheme. That approach seems to be welcomed.</p> <p>I will gladly keep you and any other interested members updated with progress as it occurs.</p>
<p>14. COUNCILLOR DONNA FORD</p> <p>Given this administration key priority is to put action to address the climate emergency at the heart of our work can the cabinet member for climate change delivery and environment please explain why in the latest business management and monitoring report the reduction in carbon equivalent emissions from OCC's activities risk is on an upward trajectory ?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR PETE SUDBURY, CABINET MEMBER FOR CLIMATE CHANGE DELIVERY & ENVIRONMENT</p> <p>Thank you for asking.</p> <p>This report is from August and reflects the program put in place and decisions made during the last administration, along with the general problems that have been becoming increasingly obvious post Brexit and due to Covid.</p> <p>The OCC Climate Action plan for 2021/22 includes 20 priority actions, aiming to reduce corporate emissions and enable Oxfordshire's transition to a zero-carbon economy. Some actions set the strategic framework for future initiatives; some deliver projects with direct impact on emissions.</p> <p>The programme is rated as 'green' if 70% of the actions are completed or rated 'green' by their project managers. The threshold for amber is 50-69% and red below 50%.</p> <p>Regarding the specific performance indicators, I understand you have already</p>

Questions	Answers
	<p>had the following information:</p> <p>The Q2 report showed an increased number of projects rating as amber. This has been due to a variety of factors:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Supply chain issues relating to covid and Brexit have extended delivery timelines of a number of projects such as domestic retrofit, with programme delivery now extended to March to compensate.• A number of projects led by Property have experienced delays related to the need to give absolute priority to Covid-safe reopening of buildings, along with service restructuring.• We have also delayed tendering for a schools' support contract for energy efficiency, which will now go out this month, to allow more time to engage with schools and school stakeholders following the covid period. <p>It seems likely that headwinds due to Brexit and Covid will persist for some time but provided they do not worsen we can adapt our programs to work round this.</p> <p>Putting the Climate emergency at the heart of our work is about a great deal more than the Council's own scope 1 and 2 emissions, which are very small compared to those resulting directly from decisions made by the Council and its Officers, especially around highways and the planning of growth. For example, the 100k homes planned for Oxfordshire by the early 2030s will use the entire County carbon budget for Paris compliance, and roadbuilding facilitates car dependency. Addressing this requires a much broader set of actions. I would be happy to brief you further on this if you would find that useful.</p>

Questions	Answers
<p>15. COUNCILLOR DONNA FORD</p> <p>Will the leader of this council agree that committees who seek to have recommendations amended based on non-transparent discussions between the chair and deputy chair is unacceptable, undemocratic and will not be tolerated by this administration?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ LEFFMAN, LEADER OF THE COUNCIL</p> <p>Thank you for your question.</p> <p>As Leader of the Council, I would always expect the Constitution to be followed and upheld. In a formal meeting context, procedural advice is always available from the Monitoring Officer or her professional staff, and I would expect such advice to be followed. As members, we learn proper meetings procedure as part of our induction, through taking part in meetings and where necessary in receiving advice from the Monitoring Officer.</p>
<p>16. COUNCILLOR DONNA FORD</p> <p>Further to the Times report of 12 October revealing many organisations failing to comply with duties to publicly report their staff gender pay gap, can the Cabinet member for corporate services please confirm that this Council has complied fully with all relevant statutory and regulatory obligations?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR GLYNIS PHILLIPS, CABINET MEMBER FOR CORPORATE SERVICES</p> <p>The Government extended the deadline for 2020/2021 gender pay reporting from 31st March 2021 to 5th October 2021.</p> <p>We confirm that Oxfordshire County Council published the gender pay gap data for 2020/2021 by 31st March 2021 in line with standard reporting timelines and some 6 months ahead of the Government's extended deadline. The gender pay gap report was reported at Remuneration Committee on 25 January 2021 and the committee recommended the report be approved at Full Council on 9 February 2021.</p> <p>The link for the Government portal is Gender pay gap for Oxfordshire County Council - GOV.UK - GOV.UK (gender-pay-gap.service.gov.uk) where you can see the report that was submitted and when our next report is due.</p> <p>The information is also published on the Council's website, the link is https://www.oxfordshire.gov.uk/sites/default/files/file/about-council/GenderPayReport2020.pdf</p> <p>The next gender pay gap report is due in March 2022 using a data set as at</p>

Questions	Answers
	31 st March 2021.
<p>17. COUNCILLOR VAN MIERLO</p> <p>Toilet facilities on laybys either side of the A40 towards Wheatley have been closed. However, the facilities remain in place and have not yet been disconnected. The area now attracts anti-social behaviour and some hard-pressed HGV drivers expecting to find hygiene facilities have been forced relieve themselves in nearby shrubbery.</p> <p>A key reason, alongside Brexit and the pandemic, for the lack of HGV drivers is the unattractiveness of the UK as a place to drive due to the lack of road-side facilities to help maintain basic human dignity and hygiene.</p> <p>Within this context, does the cabinet member for highways agree that it makes sense to bring these services back into use, potentially with a pay-to-use scheme to fund maintenance and solar panels to provide power? Would it be possible for officers to draw up a plan to bring these services back into use to support (a) our freight strategy that encourages use of the strategic network (b) supports government calls to improve infrastructure for drivers and (c) prevents the site falling into disrepair and</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR TIM BEARDER, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY MANAGEMENT</p> <p>In 2019 following a series of complaints surrounding health & safety concerns, anti-social behaviour and unsanctioned use of the utilities supply both the north & south bound A40 toilets were subject to a detailed health & safety report, where a number of issues were identified in relation to public health. As a result, the toilets were closed.</p> <p>There has been a number of consultations with the Shotover estate and other interested parties to advise of the strategy to demolish both toilet blocks. Following a number of stakeholder consultations, in early 2021, a project began to isolate the utilities and demolish the toilet blocks.</p> <p>Currently plans devised by the previous administration are underway to redesign the layby, however there are no plans to provide toilet facilities as part of this redesign, which they decided are sufficient services already along the A40.</p> <p>However, this council has heard the response of the Prime Minister to the Driver shortage, when said working in road haulage "should be a great job" but added that there had been an underinvestment in facilities and pay conditions.</p> <p>And we've also listened to the response to the Prime Minister's comments on roadside facilities from the Road Haulage's Rod McKenzie, who said "It requires government commitment to facilitate the development of commercial sites. Government departments however have consistently ignored industry calls to press for cleaner and safer facilities on our roads."</p>

Questions	Answers
attracting anti-social behaviour?	<p>We want to help but have no revenue or capital funds and it will probably astonish you to learn that public toilets are liable for business rates!</p> <p>I will undertake to write to Secretary of State for Transport and request the funds to pay for this work in line with the Prime Minister's aspirations because, having a sanitary place where drivers can relieve themselves is much better than having them do it behind the hedge, which is what is currently happening!</p>
<p>18. COUNCILLOR NICK LEVERTON</p> <p>With the sudden upturn in Corona 19 cases and the lower-than-average uptake of the vaccination amongst the BAME community can Councillor Lygo confirm any new initiatives that have been put in place by the current administration to improve this anomaly. The health and wellbeing of all our citizens is paramount and with regard to the BAME communities is he content that we are delivering a robust enough service to them all in the current circumstances.</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR MARK LYGO, CABINET MEMBER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH & EQUALITY</p> <p>On Covid-19 vaccination, I am pleased to confirm that, overall, the uptake of COVID vaccination across the county has been very good, with 95% of our population being vaccinated. Regarding BAME communities, our rates are well above the average for BOB. Thanks to the work of all partners across the system in Oxfordshire.</p> <p>In Oxfordshire, a system-wide Vaccine Delivery Board has been set up which oversees the delivery of vaccination in the county. The board members have been working with faith leaders/local Imams, doctors and trusted leaders across the county, with support from local authorities and voluntary organisations to promote vaccination and successfully deliver pop-up clinics using "Health on the Move" vans.</p> <p>Through the pop-up clinics, vaccinations were offered to various target groups such as the homeless community, shift workers/employees of large businesses (many of whom were from BAME backgrounds), migrant farm workers (fruit and vegetable pickers).</p> <p>In addition, the Board has listened to local communities and has developed and provided information to address vaccine hesitancy in different languages</p>

Questions	Answers
	<p>and in different ways, recognising how different communities receive messages, people's cultural and religious beliefs and the ways in which they have been targeted with misinformation.</p> <p>The Vaccine Delivery Board and the team are continuing to talk to local communities, understand their issues and provide information to increase their confidence in the covid vaccine.</p>
<p>19. COUNCILLOR NICK LEVERTON</p> <p>Can Councillor Lygo confirm the numbers of Oxfordshire residents that received wrong testing results from the Newbury test centre. Can you also confirm any actions that you have implemented to mitigate the spread of the virus as a result of the Laboratory errors?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR MARK LYGO, CABINET MEMBER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH & EQUALITY</p> <p>Regarding the Newbury Test Centre, we have been assured by UKHSA that only a very small proportion of testing undertaken by Oxfordshire residents has been processed by this laboratory and we have been further assured that everyone affected has been contacted and recalled by NHS Test and Trace for a repeat test by 18 of October. Our detailed in-house surveillance of infection rates in the County continues and we are monitoring closely for any potential negative impact of this testing issue on COVID-19 transmission.</p> <p>I trust the above information provide sufficient assurance, but should you have further questions please do not hesitate to contact me.</p>
<p>20. COUNCILLOR FELIX BLOOMFIELD</p> <p>Whilst neurodevelopmental conditions (NDC) such as Autism Spectrum Disorders and Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder are themselves not mental health conditions, it is clear that young people with neurodevelopmental conditions are more vulnerable to developing mental health problems. For example, they often</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR LIZ BRIGHOUSE, DEPUTY LEADER OF THE COUNCIL AND CABINET MEMBER FOR CHILDREN, EDUCATION & YOUNG PEOPLE'S SERVICES</p> <p>The median wait for an NDC assessment is 78 weeks with the longest wait being 3.5 years with currently 1801 children and young people waiting for an assessment. However, the service will often prioritise NDC assessments as a result of the identification of risk issues.</p>

Questions	Answers
<p>find it more difficult to understand and manage their feelings and the feelings of others. They may muddle different emotions or find it hard to understand what is causing them to feel that way. Over time, children with neurodevelopmental disorders may become frustrated and demoralised because of their symptoms. They may develop feelings of a lack of control over what happens in their environment or become depressed as they experience repeated failures or negative interactions in school, at home and in other settings. This means that early access to and assessment by the CAMHS NDC team is imperative.</p> <p>Could the cabinet member for Children, Education & Young Peoples Services please confirm the current waiting time for an appointment with the NDC team and the number of children and young people currently awaiting an appointment?</p>	
<p>21. COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT</p> <p>Is the Council administration happy with details in emerging plans for Oxford North for residents to get in and out of the development on foot and by bike, including to Wolvercote primary school, to join up with active travel lanes on Woodstock Road, and to Parkway station (in particular bearing in mind the actual experience of</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR TIM BEARDER, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY MANAGEMENT</p> <p>The County Council as Local Highway Authority is a statutory consultee to Oxford City Council in making decisions on planning applications. The two Councils worked closely with the applicant over a number of years to develop a scheme that would provide significantly improved walking, cycling and bus infrastructure on the adjacent lengths of A40 and A44. There are obligations on the developer to deliver walking and cycling improvements between the site and Wolvercote Primary school and to work collaboratively with</p>

Questions	Answers
<p>residents of Barton Park)?</p> <p>Can the councillor update us on progress on fixing the rising bollards in Oriel Square and elsewhere?</p>	<p>developers of the North Oxford Golf Club site to deliver a cycle link to Oxford Parkway. The County Council are consulting (from 28th October) on proposed improvements to the Woodstock Road corridor which will complement quiet routes with a safe and direct route towards the city centre.</p> <p>The Council consider that in terms of walking and cycling accessibility the proposals met or exceeded best practice, relevant guidance and planning policy in place at the time of the resolution to grant planning permission.</p> <p>I personally feel that the policies of the previous Conservative administration were not robust enough and they merely played lip service to active travel. Instead of committing to a transformational approach they compromised. I welcome the Woodstock Road improvements and planned Banbury Road proposals but there is some much that is not optimal.</p> <p>The Conservatives, in hoc to their colleagues in national government signed up to massively over ambitious road building schemes like the dualling of the A40 between the Wolvercote roundabout and Eynsham this was always going to be incredibly challenging and likely to induce further car dependency - sticking a major entrance and exit from Oxford North further confounds the issues on this part of the network and I have serious reservations about how sensible cycling and pedestrian travel can be preserved in this medley of concrete and tarmac.</p> <p>We can't reverse everything that has been done but from this point on we will prioritise rail out to the West of Oxfordshire and further enhance our planning policy to protect our most vulnerable road users.</p>
<p>22. COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT</p> <p>Can the councillor update us on progress on</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR TIM BEARDER, CABINET MEMBER FOR HIGHWAY MANAGEMENT</p> <p>The bollards in Oriel Square are now partially working. The automatic number</p>

Questions	Answers
<p>fixing the rising bollards in Oriel Square and elsewhere?</p>	<p>plate recognition (ANPR) integration is still outstanding and is scheduled to be completed by the end of October.</p> <p>The main reason for the delay was the need to bring in specialist contractors to support final completion, following challenges faced by the main contractor to successfully deliver the required work within the agreed timeframes.</p> <p>The operation has been a complex one because as well as ANPR and the bollard infrastructure, other key elements include an overview camera system and a call centre for remote operation, plus the required internet bandwidth to operate them.</p> <p>The whole episode has been very regrettable, and I would like to take this opportunity to apologise to all the people that volunteered as human bollards. I know that they took a lot of abuse from motorists that were probably trying to break the rules. It shouldn't have happened, and we will be investigating the problems and trying to learn lessons.</p>
<p>23. COUNCILLOR ANDREW GANT</p> <p>Is the councillor able to update us on current plans for Connecting Oxford? In particular, can he confirm if extending the proposed WPL to cover the whole city (including our own car park here at County Hall) is under consideration? What are the proposed timescales? Can he further confirm that the administration regards this project as a key part of a suite of measures, including LTNs and others, designed to improve our city for all who live, work and visit, and that these measures should be seen as a whole?</p>	<p>COUNCILLOR DUNCAN ENRIGHT, CABINET MEMBER FOR TRAVEL & DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY</p> <p>The Connecting Oxford project consists of a suite of complementary measures designed to encourage travel by more sustainable modes - all key to tackling the climate emergency, inequalities and public health issues, as well as addressing pollution and congestion. The project team is currently reviewing timescales for delivery of the individual elements, and how the project links with the various other Active Travel initiatives that are underway in Oxford. Cabinet portfolio holders expect to see a proposed realistic delivery timetable in the next few weeks.</p> <p>With regards to the WPL, along with colleagues, I have asked officers to prepare advice on the WPL area being extended. This is currently under</p>

Questions	Answers
	consideration as part of the wider considerations noted above.

This page is intentionally left blank